	Equality Im	pact Ass	essment	Screening Tool		
1	Lead officer contact details: Gethin Segel, Parking and Projects manager, Homes for Haringey. X2715					
2	Date: 10/10/17					
3	Summary of the proposal: To increase Garage rents by 2.4% for the financial year 2018/19					
	Response to Screening Questions	Yes	No	Please explain your answer.		
a) Ty	pe of proposal					
4.	Is this a new proposal or a significant change to a policy or service, including commissioned service?		No			
5.	Does the proposal remove, reduce or alter a service or policy?		No			
6.	Will there be a restructure or significant changes in staffing arrangements? Please see the restructure pages for guidance for restructure EqlAs.		No			
7.	If the service or policy is not changing, have there been any known equality issues or concerns with current provision. For example, cases of discrimination or failure to tackle inequalities in outcomes in the past?		No	We already offer a specific discount to customers over the state pension age or "registered disabled". In addition residents of Haringey Council are entitled to rent a garage rent free. Our rents have been set following benchmarking with both the private sector and other LA providers and are deemed to be "competitive".		
	nown inequalities		T			
8.	Could the proposal disproportionally impact on any particular communities, disadvantaged or vulnerable residents?		No			

9.	Is the service targeted towards particular disadvantaged or vulnerable residents?	No	
	This can be a service specifically for a group, such as services for people with Learning Disabilities. It can also be a universal service but has specific measures to tackle inequalities, such as encouraging men to take up substance misuse services.		
10.	Are there any known inequalities? For example, particular groups are not currently accessing services that they need or are more likely to suffer inequalities in outcomes, such as health outcomes.	No	
11	If you have answered yes to at least one question in both sections a) and b), Please complete an EqIA.		If a decision is taken not to proceed with a full EqIA, please document carefully your reasons here:  For example:  The proposal is likely to have no/minimal impact on groups that share the protected characteristics or other disadvantaged groups  The service currently is effective in tackling inequalities and it is not changing  Any changes will not have any impact on service users, residents or staff